

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the claims 1 through 3, 5 and 6. Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments to the claims are supported by the application as originally filed and do not contain any new matter. Accordingly, the Office Action will be discussed in terms of the claims as amended.

The Examiner has objected to the claims 1 through 6 for failing to clearly define the invention. In reply thereto, Applicant has amended the claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 and respectfully submits that Applicant's invention is now clearly defined and identified. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims 1 through 6 are not objectionable.

The Examiner has rejected the claim 1 under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. stating that Suzuki et al. teaches a display device comprising an image control panel 18 continuously provided with combination base units including optical transparency base units and optical no-transparency base units and a lens part continuously provided with repeating units of lenses wherein the image control panel and the lens film part are laminated in a direction of light transmission and any one width of an optical transparency base unit and a pitch of a repeating unit of a lens is set to be an integral multiplication of another.

In reply to this rejection, Applicant has carefully reviewed Suzuki et al. and respectfully submits that Suzuki et al. is patentably distinguishable from Applicant's invention as failing to disclose a number of elements of Applicant's invention. Such elements of Applicant's invention which are not found in Suzuki et al. are at least that a combination base units each consists of one optical transparency base unit and a plurality of optical no-transparency base units and the one optical transparency base unit and the plurality of optical no-transparency base units of each combination base units are provided in a single row.

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Suzuki et al. does not teach all of the elements of Applicant's invention and the claim 1 is not anticipated thereby.

The Examiner has rejected the claims 1 through 6 under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Kojima stating that Kojima discloses a display device comprising an image control panel part 25 continuously provided with combination base units including optical transparency base units and optical no-transparency base units (reflecting plate 24) and a lens film part 11

continuously provided with repeating units of lenses 12, wherein the image control panel 7 and the lens film part 6 are laminated in a direction of light transmission and the base units may be formed with color filters of plural colors and with the optical no-transparency base units arranged between the color filters and while Kojima does not expressly teach that the lens film parts are laminated to have a crossing angle to form a pitch transfusing the repeating unit of the lenses set a specific length, in light of the unclear claim language it is the Examiner's opinion that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do this.

In reply to this rejection, Applicant has carefully reviewed Kojima and respectfully submits that the element 25 is in fact a diffuser (see column 3, line 58), element 11 is in fact merely a transparent base sheet which is not a lens at all (see column 2, lines 55-63) and a review of Kojima indicates that there are no elements with reference numerals 6 or 7. Still further, Applicant respectfully submits that Kojima also does not disclose combination base units each consisting of a single optical transparency base unit and a plurality of optical no-transparency base units wherein the optical transparency base unit and the plurality of optical no-transparency base units are arranged in a single row, all of which are claimed in Applicant's claim 1. Still further, Applicant respectfully submits that the limitation "the optical transparency base unit of each combination base unit having a width dimension calculated by subtracting a sum of the width of the optical no-transparency base units of that combination unit from the width of the combination base unit itself" is not disclosed by Kojima.


In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that in light of Applicant's clarified claims that Kojima also does not disclose that the optical transparency base unit and the lens film part of each of the combination base units are provided continuously so that their sides are inclined at an angle relative to one another as in Applicant's claims 5 and 6.

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Kojima does not disclose each and every element of Applicant's invention as claimed and the claims 1 through 6 are not obvious over Kojima.

In view of the above, therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered, favorably considered and the case passed to issue.

Please charge any additional costs incurred by or in order to implement this Amendment or required by any requests for extensions of time to QUINN EMANUEL DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 50-4367.

Respectfully submitted,



William L. Androlia

Reg. No. 27,177

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP
Koda/Androlia
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: 213-443-3000
Facsimile: 213-443-3100
E-mail: thomasedison@quinnemanuel.com

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on February 23, 2009.



William L. Androlia

Name

Signature

2/23/2009

Date